

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,411	06/23/2003	Jeffrey W. Scott	SILA:002C4	7883
75	90 10/06/2006		EXAM	INER
William W. Enders			JOSEPH, JAISON	
O'KEEFE, EGA	N & PETERMAN			
Building C, Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
1101 Capital of Texas Highway South			2611	
Austin, TX 78	3746			

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W. W.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/601,411	SCOTT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Jaison Joseph	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication арр Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>une 2003</u> .		
	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 33-90 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) 45-90 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 33-35 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 36-39 and 41-44 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Attachment(s)	•		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	
Dotant and Trademark Office			_

14

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 33, 35, 40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 13, 21, 30, 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,570,513. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 33 of the instant application merely broadens the

scope of the claims 1 of the patent by eliminating the elements and their functions of claims 1 of the patent. Therefore, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that the both invention to provide an isolated bidirectional communication channel for data signals in a forward direction and in a reverse direction across an isolation barrier comprised of plurality of isolation elements. It has been held that the omission an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Regarding claim 33, Claims 1, 13, 21, and 38 of the Patent recites all the limitations of claim 33 of the instant application.

Regarding claim 34, which inherits the limitations of claim 33, Claims 6, 26, and 34 of the Patent recites all the limitations of claim 34 of the instant application.

Regarding claim 35, which inherits the limitations of claim 33, claim 10, 20, 21, and 38 of the Patent recite all the limitations of claim 35 of the instant application.

Regarding claim 40, claim 20, 21 and 38 of the Patent recites all the limitations of claim 40 of the instant application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 45 – 90 are allowable over prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,411

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 36 – 39 and 41 – 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Joseph 09/23/2006

DAC HA

Page 4